



IFW

PATENT APPLICATION**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****Applicants:** G. Cohen, et al.**Examiner:** Palmer, Phan T. H.**Serial No:** 10/669,944**Group:** Art Unit 2874**Filed:** September 24, 2003**Docket:** YOR920030400US1 (8728-646)

**For: APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR INTEGRALLY PACKAGING
OPTOELECTRONIC DEVICES, IC CHIPS AND OPTICAL
TRANSMISSION LINES**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA. 22313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Office Action mailed on June 6, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect the claims of Group I (claims 1-20), with traverse. At the very least, Applicants respectfully request that the inventions of Groups I and II be maintained in this application.

As set forth in MPEP § 803, if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though the application contains claims to independent and distinct inventions.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage paid in an envelope addressed to : Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

Date:

7/6/05

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Frank V. DeRosa".

Frank V. DeRosa

While the inventions of Groups I and II may be distinct for the reasons set forth by the Examiner, it is respectfully submitted that simultaneous examination of such Groups will not present a serious burden. Indeed, the inventions of Groups I and II are commonly classified in Class 385 (although purportedly different subclasses 88 and 14, respectively). The inventions of Groups I and II recite common subject matter related to an optical bench. In such instance, Examiner's search and analysis for the claims of Group I will undoubtedly overlap that which is required for the claims of Group II.

In such instance, Applicants respectfully submit that it would not be a serious burden for the Examiner to simultaneously examination the inventions of Groups I and II. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that Examiner maintain at least Groups I and II in the same application.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank V. DeRosa
Reg. No. 43,584
Attorney for Applicant

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
Tel: (516) 692-8888
Fax: (516) 692-8889